Public Document Pack



AUDIT COMMITTEE AGENDA

7.00 pm

Thursday 28 September 2017

Committee Room 3A -Town Hall

Members 6: Quorum 3

COUNCILLORS:

Conservative

(2)

Viddy Persaud (Chairman) Frederick Thompson Residents'

(1)

Julie Wilkes (Vice-Chair)

East Havering Residents'(1)

Clarence Barrett

UKIP

(1)

Independent Residents' (1)

David Johnson

Graham Williamson

For information about the meeting please contact:

Debra Marlow - 01708 433091

debra.marlow@OneSource.co.uk

Protocol for members of the public wishing to report on meetings of the London Borough of Havering

Members of the public are entitled to report on meetings of Council, Committees and Cabinet, except in circumstances where the public have been excluded as permitted by law.

Reporting means:-

- filming, photographing or making an audio recording of the proceedings of the meeting;
- using any other means for enabling persons not present to see or hear proceedings at a meeting as it takes place or later; or
- reporting or providing commentary on proceedings at a meeting, orally or in writing, so
 that the report or commentary is available as the meeting takes place or later if the
 person is not present.

Anyone present at a meeting as it takes place is not permitted to carry out an oral commentary or report. This is to prevent the business of the meeting being disrupted.

Anyone attending a meeting is asked to advise Democratic Services staff on 01708 433076 that they wish to report on the meeting and how they wish to do so. This is to enable employees to guide anyone choosing to report on proceedings to an appropriate place from which to be able to report effectively.

Members of the public are asked to remain seated throughout the meeting as standing up and walking around could distract from the business in hand.

AGENDA ITEMS

1 CHAIRMAN'S ANNOUNCEMENTS

The Chairman will announce details of the arrangements in case of fire or other events that might require the meeting room or building's evacuation.

2 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE AND ANNOUNCEMENT OF SUBSTITUTE MEMBERS

(if any) - received.

3 DISCLOSURE OF INTERESTS

Members are invited to declare any interest in any of the items on the agenda at this point of the meeting.

Members may still disclose any interest in any item at any time prior to the consideration of the matter.

4 MINUTES OF THE MEETING (Pages 1 - 4)

To approve as correct the minutes of the meeting held on 21 June 2017 and authorise the Chairman to sign them.

- 5 HEAD OF ASSURANCE QUARTER ONE PROGRESS REPORT 2017/18 (Pages 5 20)
- 6 TREASURY MANAGEMENT UPDATE QUARTER 1 2017/18 (Pages 21 38)
- 7 ANNUAL STATEMENT OF ACCOUNTS 2016/17 (Pages 39 42)
- 8 REPORT TO THOSE CHARGED WITH GOVERNANCE INTERNATIONAL STANDARD OF AUDITING (Pages 43 48)

9 URGENT BUSINESS

To consider any other item in respect of which the Chairman is of the opinion, by reason of special circumstances which shall be specific in the minutes that the item should be considered at the meeting as a matter of urgency.

Andrew Beesley
Head of Democratic Services



Public Document Pack Agenda Item 4

MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE Committee Room 3B - Town Hall 21 June 2017 (7.00 - 7.45 pm)

Present:

COUNCILLORS:

Conservative Group Viddy Persaud (in the Chair) and Frederick Thompson

Residents' Group Julie Wilkes (Vice-Chair)

East Havering

Clarence Barrett

Residents' Group UKIP Group

David Johnson

Independent Residents

Graham Williamson

Group

Through the Chairman, announcements were made regarding emergency evacuation arrangements and the decision making process followed by the Committee.

1 DISCLOSURE OF INTERESTS

There were no declarations of interest.

2 MINUTES OF THE MEETING

The minutes of the meeting of the Committee held on 9 May 2017 were agreed as a correct record and signed by the Chairman.

3 TREASURY MANAGEMENT ANNUAL REPORT

Officers provided details of the final 2016/17 Treasury Position. There had been no new long-term borrowing and external investment income outturn had exceeded the budgeted figure by £0.509m despite the Bank of England cutting the bank rate from 0.5% to 0.25% in August 2016.

Officer advised that they did not believe it would be possible to continue to outperform the budgeted income in the future as inflation was already at 2.9% compared to the Bank of England rate of 2.0%. If the Council are to continue to meet or even exceed the target, there was a need for a change to the Treasury Management Statement to expand the list of instruments the Council could invest in. There would be no investment in these new instruments until a fundamental review had been undertaken of the Authority's capital investment plans in order to develop a 5-year capital programme from 2018/19 to 2023/24.

The Committee noted:

1. The final 2016/17 Treasury Pesition; 1

- 2. The treasury and prudential indicators; and
- 3. The recommendation to Cabinet and Council to consider the report and approve changes to the 2017/18 Treasury Management Strategy Statement.

4 ACCOUNTS CLOSURE UPDATE

The Committee was informed that management were reviewing the preliminary draft statement of accounts, and officers were confident of achieving the statutory timetable for publication and approval.

The significant changes to the code of practice affecting the 2016/17 accounts were the amendment to service lines presented within the Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement, and the new Expenditure Funding Analysis. Both of these changes aimed to provide a direct and accessible reconciliation between the way local authorities were funded and prepared their budget to the financial information reported within the Statement of Accounts.

In addition to the change brought by the Code, the 2016/17 accounts would include Group Accounts due to the need to incorporate the Council's interest in Mercury Land Holdings Ltd.

In accordance with the Accounts and Audit (England) Regulations 2011. The Completed accounts would need to be approved and signed by the Chief Financial Officer no later than 30 June 2017.

The draft accounts would then be subject to audit by the Council's external auditors Ernst & Young. Following the conclusion of the audit, the accounts must be approved by the Audit Committee and published no later than 30 September 2017.

The Committee were advised that Nigel Foster who had been involved with the preparation of the Accounts for the best part of 10 years would be leaving the Council by the end of June.

The Committee:

- 1. Noted the report; and
- 2. Placed on record their appreciation of the work undertaken by Nigel over the last 10 years.

5 ANNUAL GOVERNANCE STATEMENT 2016/17

Officers advised that the 2015/16 Annual Governance Statement had identified two significant governance issues; these were Schem of Delegation (L B Havering) and Commissioning and Contracts (including compliance with procurement rules) these were considered to be ongoing and were included in the 2016/17 statement.

Three other significant issues had been identified for 2016/17. These were:

- Mercury Land Holdings;
- Projects and Programme Management; and
- Information Technology and Information Governance.

The Committee considered the planned action and timetables for addressing these issues and sought assurance that the Scheme of Delegation review would be completed on time.

Officers gave an assurance that the September deadline was achievable.

The Committee **agreed** the Annual Governance Statement.

6 ASSURANCE PROGRESS REPORT QTR 4

The Committee were provided with details of the work undertaken by the internal audit & counter fraud teams during quarter 4 and the overall outturn for 2016/17. Based upon the work undertaken since the last update no material issues had arisen therefore the Head of Assurance was able to conclude that reasonable assurance could be given that the internal control environment was operating adequately. No limited assurance reports had been issued in quarter four.

The Committee questioned officers with regard those high priority recommendations which had not yet been fully implemented. The Committee were given an assurance that both of these were in hand.

Officers were also asked for clarification with regard to the housing investigations. Officers informed the Committee that initially the Tenancy Fraud Project had targeted council stock, but given its success the scope had been broaden to include PSL stock.

Officers also supplied clarification as to the basis of the figure reached as nominal value for the properties recovered following investigation. A nominal figure had been agreed by the Audit Commission based on the cost of housing a family for a year.

The report was **noted**.

7 INTERNAL AUDIT ANNUAL REPORT 2016/17

The Head of Assurance had provided his Annual Report which brought together all aspects of audit, assurance and counter fraud work undertaken in the 2016/17 financial year, including actions taken by management in response to audit and counter fraud activity, which supported the governance framework of the authority.

The Head of Assurance drew the Committee's attention to the five London Borough of Havering audits which had been given a Limited Assurance rating.

The Committee had some concern at the Limited Assurance given to the Council Tax Collection OneSource audit. Officers gave an assurance that the high risk recommendations all related only to the LB of Newham processes, and did not impact on LB Havering.

Officers advised that in future OneSource audits would be reported to the Local Joint Committee.

Audit Committee, 21 June 2017

Subject to the above the Committee **noted** the report.

8 URGENT BUSINESS

Given the recent events in Manchester, Borough Market and elsewhere the committee asked that an audit of emergency planning be undertaken so that an assurance can be given that the processes are adequate for purpose.

Chairman	

Agenda Item 5



AUDIT COMMITTEE 28 September 2017

Subject Heading:	Head of Assurance – Quarter One Progress Report 2017/18			
SLT Lead:	Jane West Managing Director oneSource			
Report Author and contact details:	Jeremy Welburn Head of Assurance. Tel: 01708 432610 / 07976539248 E-mail: jeremy.welburn@onesource.co.uk			
Policy context:	To inform the Committee of progress on the assurance work undertaken in quarter one of 2017/18.			
Financial summary:	N/A			
The subject matter of this report deals with the following Council Objective				

es

Communities making Havering	[X]
Places making Havering	[X]
Opportunities making Havering	[X]
Connections making Havering	[X]

SUMMARY

This report advises the Committee on the work undertaken by the Assurance Service (internal audit & counter fraud) during quarter one of 2017/18.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. To note the contents of the report.
- 2. To raise any issues of concern and ask specific questions of officers where required.

REPORT DETAIL

This progress report contains an update to the Committee regarding Internal Audit activity. The report is presented in three sections.

Section 1 Introduction, Issues and Assurance Opinion

Section 2 Executive Summary: A summary of the key messages from quarter one.

Section 3 Appendices: Provide supporting detail for Members' information

Appendix A: Detail of Quarter One Internal Audit work

Appendix B: Detail of Quarter One Counter Fraud work

Appendix C: Current Status of 2017/18 Audit Plan

IMPLICATIONS AND RISKS

Financial implications and risks:

There are none arising directly from this report which is for noting and/or providing an opportunity for questions to be raised.

By maintaining an adequate internal audit service, management are supported in the effective identification and efficient management of risks and ultimately good governance. Failure to maximise the performance of the service may lead to losses caused by insufficient or ineffective controls or even failure to achieve objectives where risks are not mitigated. In addition recommendations may arise from any audit work undertaken and managers have the opportunity of commenting on these before they are finalised. In accepting audit recommendations, the managers are obliged to consider financial risks and costs associated with the implications of the recommendations. Managers are also required to identify implementation dates and then put in place appropriate actions to ensure these are achieved. Failure to either implement at all or meet the target date may have control implications, although these would be highlighted by any subsequent audit work. Such failures may result in financial losses for the Council.

Legal implications and risks:

None arising directly from this report.

Human Resources implications and risks:

None arising directly from this report.

Equalities implications and risks:

None arising directly from this report.

BACKGROUND PAPERS

N/A

Section 1: Introduction, Issues and Assurance Opinion

1.1 Introduction

- 1.1.1 The Accounts and Audit Regulations require the Council to undertake an effective internal audit to evaluate the effectiveness of its risk management, control and governance processes, taking into account the Public Sector Internal Auditing Standards (PSIAS) and other guidance.
- 1.1.2 Internal audit is a key component of corporate governance within the Council. The three lines of defence model, as detailed below, provides a framework for understanding the role of internal audit in the overall risk management and internal control processes of an organisation:
 - First line operational management controls
 - Second line monitoring controls, e.g. the policy or system owner / sponsor
 - Third line independent assurance.

The Council's third line of defence includes Internal Audit, who should provide independent assurance to senior management and the Audit Committee on how effectively the first and second lines of defence have been operating.

- 1.1.3 An independent internal audit function will, through its risk-based approach to work, provide assurance to the Council's Audit Committee and senior management on the higher risk and more complex areas of the Council's business, allowing management to focus on providing coverage of routine operations.
- 1.1.4 The work of internal audit is critical to the evaluation of the Council's overall assessment of its governance, risk management and internal control systems, and forms the basis of the annual opinion provided by the Head of Assurance which contributes to the Annual Governance Statement. It can also perform a consultancy role to assist in identifying improvements to the organisation's practices.
- 1.1.5 Officers within the Assurance Service have continued to be involved in work with the Section 151 Officer, Monitoring Officer and Director of Finance for oneSource to refresh the Governance and Assurance Board arrangements and the approach to collating evidence for the Annual Governance Statement. They have also worked with senior management to update risk registers, and to integrate Audit and Counter Fraud Plans with those. Previously, horizon scanning work had taken place with other Heads of Audit and through the Croydon Framework to identify common risk and audit themes. Updated Risk Registers and Audit Plans for 2017/18 were approved by the Audit Committee in May, which reflected this revised approach.
- 1.1.6 Members will be aware that the full range of Assurance Services; Internal Audit, Counter Fraud, Risk Management & Insurance, are now delivered by a shared service with LB Bexley and LB Newham as part of oneSource. The new structure has started to deliver additional resilience, financial savings and the operational efficiencies required in challenging financial times. This has been achieved by sharing management posts, removing management duplication, and by improving the effectiveness and efficiency of processes.

- 1.1.7 This report brings together all aspects of internal audit and counter fraud work undertaken in quarter one, 2017/18, in support of the Audit Committee's role.
- 1.1.8 The report supports the Head of Assurance's ongoing assurance opinion on the internal control environment and highlights key outcomes from internal audit and counter fraud work and provides information on wider issues of interest to the Council's Audit Committee. The Appendices provide specific detail of outputs for the Committee's information.

1.2 Level of Assurance

- 1.2.1 At the June 2017 Committee meeting, Members received the Head of Assurance opinion based upon the work undertaken in 2016/17, which concluded that reasonable assurance could be given that the internal control environment is operating adequately.
- 1.2.2 Based upon the work undertaken since the last update to Members, no material issues have arisen, which would impact on this opinion. No limited assurance reports were issued in quarter one.

Section 2. Executive Summary of work undertaken in guarter one, 2017/18

2.1 Internal Audit

- 2.1.1 There have been two final reports issued in quarter one; one of these was given an audit opinion of substantial assurance and the other moderate assurance. A number of audits are in progress, with fieldwork underway, but have not reached the final report stage. Appendix C shows the current status of the 2017/18 audit plan.
- 2.1.2 Of the 6 recommendations raised in the reports issued in quarter one; there was one high risk recommendation, four medium and one low. Further detail is provided in Section 3.1.

2.2 Pro-Active and Counter Fraud

- 2.2.1 The Audit Partner (Pro-Active Audit & Counter Fraud) received three new referrals in quarter one.
- 2.2.2 Seven cases have been completed during the quarter resulting in:
 - One Disciplinary;
 - One Protected Conversation;
 - One No Case to Answer: and
 - Four Management Action Plans.
- 2.3.1 During the quarter the investigations team:
 - Recovered six properties with a notional value of £108,000;
 - Had five Right to Buy applications withdrawn with a notional value of £434,950; and
 - One 'Homelessness Duty Refusal' of £18,000.
- 2.3.2 The total net savings for the Tenancy Fraud Project from October 2015 to June 2017 is £4.53m. The breakdown for each year is detailed below.

April 2017 to June 2017 - £0.52m April 2016 to March 2017 - £2.54m October 2015 to March 2016 - £1.47m

Appendix A

3. Quarter One - Internal Audit Work

3.1 Risk Based Systems and School Audits

3.1.1 The table below details the two final reports issued in quarter one. It should be noted that no limited assurance reports were issued in quarter one.

		Recommendations			
Report	Assurance	High	Med	Low	Total
System Audits					
One Oracle	Moderate	1	2	0	3
Fairkytes	Substantial	0	2	1	3
Total		1	4	1	6

The high priority recommendation raised in quarter one is detailed below:

Audit	Recommendation	Management Response
One	A review of current staff access	Agreed. We are happy to work
Oracle	, ,	with the systems team, to ensure the correct levels of access are being applied.

Key to Assurance Levels				
Substantial Assurance	There is a robust framework of controls and appropriate actions are being taken to manage risks within the areas reviewed. Controls are applied consistently or with minor lapses that do not result in significant risks to the achievement of system objectives.			
Moderate Assurance	Whilst there is basically a sound system of control within the areas reviewed, a need was identified to enhance controls and/or their application and to improve the arrangements for managing risks.			
Limited Assurance	There are fundamental weaknesses in the internal control environment within the areas reviewed, and further action is required to manage risks to an acceptable level.			

3.1.2 During quarter one, there was one school health check completed and a report issued to Parklands Infants.

3.2 Outstanding Audit Recommendations Update

3.2.1 Internal Audit follows up all non-school audit recommendations with management when the deadlines for implementation are due. There is a rolling programme of follow up work, with each auditor taking responsibility for tracking the implementation of recommendations made in their audit reports. The implementation of audit recommendations, in systems where limited assurance was provided, is verified through a follow up audit review. A programme is now in

- place to follow up school audit recommendations which will begin with the new academic year in September 2017.
- 3.2.2 This work is of high importance given that the Council's risk exposure remains unchanged if management fail to implement the recommendations raised in respect of areas of control weakness. A key element of the Audit Committee's role is to monitor the extent to which recommendations are implemented as agreed and within a reasonable timescale, with particular focus applied to any high priority recommendations.
- 3.2.3 Recommendations are classified into three potential categories according to the significance of the risk arising from the control weakness identified. The three categories comprise:

High:	Fundamental control requirement needing implementation			
	as soon as possible.			
Medium:	Important control that should be implemented			
Low:	Pertaining to best practice.			

- 3.2.4 Two high priority recommendations were due to be implemented by the end of Quarter One. Both these recommendations have been implemented. A total of seven medium priority recommendations that became due during quarter one were followed up and three were confirmed as fully implemented; three partially implemented; and, one was not implemented.
- 3.2.5 The table below summarises the status of all recommendations made (from finalised reports) up to the end of quarter one and those carried forward from 2016/17. This does not yet include schools since the recommendation follow up process has only recently been introduced.

	High	Medium	Low
No. of Recs raised in Q1	1	4	1
Outstanding16/17 Recs brought forward	3	4	0
TOTAL	4	8	1
Recommendations due to be implemented by 30/06/17	2	7	0
Fully Implemented	2	3	0
Partially Implemented	0	3	0
Not Implemented	0	1	0

3.2.6 The recommendations that remain outstanding (both partially and not implemented) are provided in the table below:

Audit Year, Title & Opinion	Recommendation & Priority	Original management response & quarterly update	Current implementation status
view	The password convention should be changed to ensure that only strong passwords are used to access the system Priority: Medium	Update July 2017 - This request has been made to the supplier and will be implemented by the end of October 2017. Revised implementation date: 31/10/2017	Partially implemented
16/17 Talent Link Application Review Opinion: Substantial	It should be investigated whether the system can generate a bespoke report to either monitor user activity or investigate any discrepancies or errors made or possible fraudulent transactions A programme of monitoring needs to be developed through the user group and in consultation with the Head of People Services to ensure that there is sufficient oversight of user activity and the accountability is defined by clear boundaries. Priority: Medium	Update July 2017 - This is a bespoke report which will need to be written by Lumesse at an additional charge. This will be investigated and reported back. Revised implementation date: 31/10/2017	Not implemented
16/17 Direct Payments Financial Assessments Opinion - Limited	Financial Assessments should be carried out within 28 days as specified in the Non-Residential Care Assessments Processes and Procedure Document. Priority: Medium	September 2017 Update - The two vacant Financial Assessment Officer posts have now been filled, with one starting on 11th September and the other scheduled to start on 25th September 2017. Therefore, as previously advised, once the necessary training has been provided we will be meeting the target set out in the recommendation, but it is unlikely we will achieve that before November due to the detailed nature of the training and induction for this post. Revised implementation date: 31/12/2017	Partially implemented

	The Non-Residential Care	Original implementation date:	Partially
	Assessments Processes and	01/03/2017	implemented
)ts	Procedures should state that a	July 2017 Update - I can advise	
	financial re-assessment is to be	that we are now carrying out the	
l Si	carried out every three years.	work to review people every 3	
les es	Priority: Medium	years. Regarding updating the	
SS		procedure document, this is on a	
₹		work plan for the Deputy Team	
cia ed		Manager and the date for	
inancia Limited		completion is 31/8/17. It is	
Financial Assessments - Limited		expected that it will be completed	
S C		by that date.	
ii e		Due to the 3 vacancies in the team	
ne		we have accrued a bit of a backlog	
a S		of work so we are focusing on	
<u>a</u>		managing that, therefore if there	
Direct Payments Opinion		are delays getting the posts filled	
] j		this may affect full implementation.	
1 2		We have interviewed and offered	
16/17		posts for all 3 vacancies and this is	
4		now in the hands of HR.	
		Revised implementation date:	
I		31/10/2017	

3.3 Audit Progress

- 3.3.1 The Annual Audit Plan approved by the Audit Committee in May 2017 comprised 48 audit reviews. A further six tasks have been carried forward from 2016/17 and three added at the request of clients; four tasks have been deferred to 2018/19 or cancelled. (See Appendix C). The total number of audit reviews, including 2016/17 audits carried forward, is currently 53.
- 3.3.2 Current progress toward delivery of the 2017/18 audit plan (including audits carried forward from 2016/17, but excluding School Health Checks) is summarised in the table below, with further detail provided in Appendix C.

	Number of	
	Audits / Tasks	
Final reports issued	2	
Draft reports issued	8	
In progress	13	
To be completed	30	
Total	53	

3.3.3 The target outturn for completion of the audit plan is 90% at the end of the financial year. As at 23rd August 2017, 43% of the tasks have been delivered to draft or final report stage, or are in progress.

Appendix B

4. Quarter One - Counter Fraud Audit Work

4.1 Proactive Counter Fraud Investigations

4.1.1 Proactive work undertaken during quarter one is shown below:

Description	Risks	Quarter 4 Status
Advice to Directorates	General advice and support to Directors and Heads of Service including short ad-hoc investigations, audits and compliance. Twenty one requests for advice were received during the quarter.	Ongoing
Advice to Local Authorities	All Data Protection Act requests via Local Authorities, Police etc.	Ongoing
Fraud Hotline To take all telephone calls and emails relating to the 'Fraud Hotline' and refer appropriately. Five referrals were received during the quarter.		Ongoing
FOI Requests	To undertake all Freedom of Information Requests relating to Internal Audit Investigations.	Ongoing
Investigation Recommendations	The recording of all investigation recommendations, follow ups and assurance of implementation.	Ongoing
Whistleblowing	All whistleblowing referrals.	Ongoing

- 4.1.2 The proactive audit investigation work comprises two elements:
 - A programme of proactive investigations; and
 - ➤ Following up the implementation of recommendations made in previous corporate fraud investigation and proactive reports (details provided in Section 4.4.1).

4.2 Reactive Investigation Cases

4.2.1 The table below provides the total cases at the start and end of the quarter one period as well as referrals, cases closed and cases completed.

Caselo	Caseload Quarter 1 2017/18								
	Referrals		Audit Investigations						
at start of period	received	To Criminal Fraud Team	to HR	Not Proven Cases	Successful Cases	Cases at end period	of		
5	2	0	0	1	6	0			

4.2.2 The table below provides information on the sources of Investigation referrals received.

Source and Number of Referrals Quarter 1 2017/18							
Number of Referrals/ Type IA Reports Qtr. 1							
Anonymous Whistleblower	0						
External Organisations / Members of the Public	0						
Internal Departments	2						
Total	2						

4.2.3 The table below shows the number and categories of Investigation cases at the end of the quarter one compared to the quarter four totals.

Reports by Category									
Audit Investigation Category	Previous Cases Qtr 4	Current Cases end of Qtr 1							
Breach of Code of Conduct	1	0							
Breach of Council Procedures	0	0							
Falsification of Records	0	1							
Miscellaneous	1	1							
Misuse of Council Time	0	0							
Procurement	0	0							
Theft	1	0							
Total	3	2							

4.2.4 The table below shows the case outcomes for the Investigations from April to June 2017.

Case Outcomes	
Outcome	Qtr 1
Management Action Plan	4
Disciplinary	1
Protected Conversation	1
No case to answer	1
Total	7

4.3 Savings and Losses

- 4.3.1 The investigations carried out provide the Council with value for money through:
 - > The identification of monies lost through fraud and the recovery of all or part of these sums; and
 - The identification of potential losses through fraud in cases where the loss was prevented.
- 4.3.2 There have been no savings or losses identified during quarter one of 2017/18.

4.4 Investigation Recommendations

4.4.1 Any recommendations raised as part of proactive or reactive investigation reports are recorded and their implementation monitored as part of a follow up programme. Three recommendations were brought forward from 2016/2017.

Total 2017/18 Proactive Investigation Recommendations						
Recommendations made during Quarter 1	7					
Recommendations Not Yet Due for implementation (including 2 recommendations raised in 2016/17)	9					

4.5 Tenancy Fraud Project

4.5.1 During the quarter the majority of resource has been focused on the Tenancy Fraud Project. The tables below show the work undertaken on the project during quarter one.

Housing Investigations										
Month	Tenancy Audit Visits	Tenancy Audits (Checks completed)	PSL Tenancy Audit Visits	PSL Tenancy Audit (Checks completed)	Referrals from Audit to Fraud	NFA'D				
Apr	393	127	839	120	2	125				
May	627	229	698	91	1	228				
Jun	788	206	263	26	7	199				
YTD	1,808	562	1,800	237	10	552				

Internal Audit										
Month	Cases Under Investigation (open cases)	Closed	Total Properties Recovered	Cases referred for HB Fraud	RTB cancelled through audits	Homeless ness Duty refusals				
Apr	88	8	2	1	0	0				
May	82	6	2	1	3	1				
Jun	88	8	2	0	2	0				
YTD	258	22	6	2	5	1				

- 4.5.2 Outcomes for the quarter include the following:
 - Six properties were recovered with a nominal value of £108,000;
 - Five Right to Buy applications were withdrawn with a notional value of £434,950; and
 - One Homelessness Duty Refusal of £18,000 (Based on an estimated cost for the Council paying for homelessness subject to secure a homelessness duty).

4.5.3 The total net savings (minus projected costs) for the project from October 2015 to June 2017 is £4.53m. The breakdown for each year is below.

April 2017 to June 2017 - £0.52m April 2016 to March 2017 - £2.54m October 2015 to March 2016 - £1.47m

Appendix C: Current Status of 2017/18 Audit Plan

	AUDIT TITLE	STATUS	OPINION
YEAR	One Oracle 16/17	COMPLETE	MODERATE
\ \ \	Contract Monitoring – Environment 16/17	DRAFT REPORT	
	Declarations of Interest 16/17	DRAFT REPORT	
	Disaster Recovery Follow Up (1617)	DRAFT REPORT	
PRIOR AUDITS	Grants to Voluntary Organisations	DRAFT REPORT	
N CO	Children's Commissioning	UNDERWAY	
шч	Fairkytes	COMPLETE	SUBSTANTIAL
	Troubled Families Programme	SCOPING -	OODO ITATINE
	-	fieldwork	
	No Decourse to Dublic Funds (NDDF)	scheduled Sept' SCOPING -	
	No Recourse to Public Funds (NRPF)	fieldwork TBC	
	Client Finance deputyship and appointeeship	SCOPING -	
		fieldwork	
	Accounts payable service payments teams in	scheduled Sept' SCOPING -	
	directorates	fieldwork	
		scheduled Sept'	
	Private Sector Leasing scheme - Liberty Housing	SCOPING -	
		fieldwork scheduled Oct'	
	Housing and Planning Act 2016	SCOPING -	
		fieldwork TBC	
	Homelessness - Housing Tenancy Arrangements	SCOPING -	
		fieldwork scheduled Sept'	
	Reablement Services	MOVE TO 18/19	
		service request	
		due to retender	
	Care Packages	MOVE TO 18/19 - service request	
	Contract Management: Client checking of contractor	SCOPING -	
	works	fieldwork	
	Health and Social Care Integration - Hospital	scheduled Sept'	
	Discharges	Q4	
	Adoption (Additional Task)	Q3	
ဟ	Information Governance/ Data Protection	TBC (External)	
DIT	Corporate Culture	Q3	
AU	Compliance with new Report Approval Processes	Q3	
E	Alternative delivery models	Q3/4	
'ST	Children's disability service	Q3	
LBH SYSTEM AUDITS	Care Act 2014 - Safeguarding	Q3/4	
H.	Date warehouse security	TBC (External)	
	St Alban's RC Primary	DRAFT REPORT	
P	St Mary's RC Primary	DRAFT REPORT	
ск Всноо	Crownfield Infants	DRAFT REPORT	

	Squirrels Heath Infants	DRAFT REPORT
	Branfil Primary	Q3
	Crownfield Juniors	Q3
	Elm Park Primary (Additional Task)	Q3
	Engayne Primary	Q4
	Gidea Park Primary	Q4
	Harold Court Primary	Q4
	Squirrels Heath Junior	Q4
	Towers Junior	Q4
	Scargill Infant	CANCELLED -
		applied to
		become an Academy
	Whybridge Junior	CANCELLED -
		applied to
		become an
	Health Checks (24)	Academy X2 DRAFT
	Troutin Gridons (24)	REPORTS
	Offsite Storage Follow Up (Additional Task)	UNDERWAY
	Pension fund governance	SCOPING -
		fieldwork TBC
	Procurement - including compliance with Public	SCOPING – fieldwork
	Contract Regulations 2015	scheduled Oct'
	NNDR - debt recovery and write offs	Q3
	Establishment controls	Q4
	Housing Benefit - new claims or change in	
	circumstances	Q4
	Staff vetting	Q3
	Debt recovery	Q4
	Northgate (Revs and Bens) application review	Q4
	Enforcement Agents	Q3
	Budget Monitoring/ Savings Programme	TBC
Щ	Treasury Management	Q3
JRC	1Oracle follow up	Q4
00	off payroll engagement/ IR35	Q4
ONESOURCE	Cyber security	TBC (External)
O	Serious and Organised Crime	Q4

Agenda Item 6



AUDIT COMMITTEE

28 SEPTEMBER 2017

Subject Heading:	TREASURY MANAGEMENT UPDATE QUARTER 1 2017/18
SLT Lead:	Debbie Middleton Interim Chief Financial Officer
Report Author and contact details:	Reena Patel / Stephen Wild Treasury Manager / Head of Pensions and Treasury 01708432485 Reena.Patel@onesource.co.uk
Policy context:	The Code of Practice on Treasury Management 2009 recommends treasury activities to be reported on a scrutiny committee on a quarterly basis.
Financial summary:	There are no direct financial implications from the report.

The subject matter of this report deals with the following Council Objectives

Communities making Havering	[x]
Places making Havering	[x]
Places making Havering	[x]
Connections making Havering	[x]

SUMMARY

The Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy's Treasury Management Code (CIPFA's TM Code) requires that authorities report on the performance of the treasury management function to Full Council at least twice per year (mid-year and at year end). This report provides an additional quarterly update to be reviewed by the Audit Committee.

The authority has borrowed and invested substantial sums of money and is therefore exposed to financial risks including the loss of invested funds and the revenue effect of changing interest rates. This report covers activity on treasury managed investments and borrowings and the associated monitoring and control of risk.

The key highlights of the Q1 report are as follows:

- Investment portfolio return was 0.68% outperforming its Benchmark by 0.37%, the Budgeted rate of return by 0.08% despite 3 month LIBOR falling steadily over the quarter.
- The Arlingclose 13 London Borough average was 0.67% in Q1 but with a portfolio that had intrinsically more risk than the authority's own investment portfolio.
- No breach of the authority's prudential indicators and treasury indicators.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- To note the treasury management activities for the quarter detailed in the report
- To note the regulatory update on "Ring Fencing" set out in appendix C of this report.
- To note the updates on published FCA rules in relation to the second Markets in Financial Instrument Directive (MIFID II) included in appendix C of this report. Further details on the 'Opting-Up' process are also included in the appendix.

REPORT DETAIL

1. Economic Update and Outlook for 2017/18

- 1.1 UK Consumer Price Inflation (CPI) index was 2.6% in June (and remained unchanged in July) while Q1 GDP slowed to 0.2% although Q2 GDP could rebound.
- 1.2 The Bank of England made no change to Bank Rate at its June 2017 meeting. The central case is for Bank Rate to remain flat at 0.25% over the next three years. However, there is a downside risk for rates to be cut to

- 0.00% in the short and medium term and scope for rates to be increased from 2019 onwards, albeit modestly to 0.50%.
- 1.3 Gilt yields displayed some volatility with a marked uptick in late June. This was largely due to the expectation of tapering of Quantitative Easing (QE) in the US and Europe, which also had an impact on gilts. Since then however, gilt yields have eased and 50 year PWLB debt was priced at 2.26% at 11 September 2017.

Table 1: Bank rate forecast for the next three years.

	Sep- 17	Dec- 17	Mar- 18	Jun- 18	Sep- 18	Dec- 18	Mar- 19	Jun- 19	Sep- 19	Dec- 19	Mar- 20	Jun- 20	Sep- 20
Official Bank Rate													
Upside risk %	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Arlingclose Central Case %	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Downside risk %	0.00	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25

2. Treasury Management Summary

Treasury management activity as at 30th June 2017 is shown in table 2 below.

Table 2: Treasury Management Summary in Quarter 1*

	Balance at 01/04/17	Raised	Repaid	Balance at 30/06/17	Weighted Average Rate
	£m	£m	£m	£m	%
Loans					
PWLB	203.234	-	-	203.234	3.60
Money Market (LOBO)	7.000	-	-	7.000	3.60
Temporary Borrowing	2.512	10.000	2.362	10.150	0.20
Total Loans	212.746	10.000	2.362	220.384	3.44
Investments					
Fixed Deposits	162.000	73.500	66.000	169.500	0.74
Money Market Funds	6.920	117.680	111.870	12.730	0.25
Call Accounts	23.176	12.166	0.950	34.392	0.45
Covered Bonds	8.808	-	-	8.808	0.59
Total investments	200.904	203.346	178.820	225.435	0.68

^{*} Excludes energy renewable loan

3. Investments

- 3.1 The authority had outstanding deposits and related investments at 30 June 2017 of £225m. The Arlingclose universe of 13 London Boroughs had an average cash balance of £93.2m. The average days to maturity of the authority's investments was 294 days compared to the 154 days of the 13 London Borough's.
- 3.2 At 30 June 2017, investments were spread amongst 26 counterparties in comparison with an average of 16 counterparties in the Arlingclose universe of 13 London Boroughs. **Appendix A** shows the breakdown of counterparties and investments for the authority. Diversification of the investment portfolio meant that the exposure to banks with a potential of being affected by Bail-in was 44% in comparison with 65% in the universe.
- 3.3 Table 3 below shows treasury investment performance for quarter ending 30 June 2017 while Table 4 show the average monthly balances in the quarter.

Table 3: Treasury Investment Performance for Quarter 1 2017/18

Period	Benchmark	Budgeted	Actual Rate	Investment Interest
	Return	Rate of	of	Earned to
	3 month LIBOR	Return	Return	Period End
	% (Average	%	%	£m
	Quarterly Rate)			
Quarter 1	0.31	0.60	0.68	0.372

- 3.4 3M LIBOR started the financial year at 0.337% and ended Q1 at 0.307% (It was 0.285% at 11 September) and as a result return on cash investments have continued to reduce.
- 3.5 As shown in table 3, the authority outperformed its benchmark this quarter by 37bps. This was achieved by locking into longer term deposits to mitigate the impact of falling 3 month LIBOR. However once these investments mature the cash becomes subject to current market rates.
- 3.6 The authority's 2017/18 budgeted rate of return was set at 0.60% taking into account predicted fall in Bank Rate and economic conditions. At the end of quarter 1, the authority outperformed its budgeted rate of return by 8bps. This compares with the Arlingclose London universe figure of 0.67%but the risk adjusted return for the authority was significantly higher generating 0.21% return per unit of risk on a time weighted basis compared with 0.16% for the universe
- 3.7 It is expected that the average 3 month LIBOR will drop further in Quarter 2 and as maturing deposits are replaced with new investments at lower rates

any excess interest in Quarter 1 may be eroded before the end of the financial year. Officers and their adviser will consider reinvesting in longer duration investments (exceeding 364 days) to obtain higher yield (within existing risk parameters) once the capital review is completed.

Table 4 shows the average monthly balances in Quarter 1 2017/18

Year	Quarter	Month	Date	Days	Average Balance (£m)	Weighted Average Rate (%)
		April	April average	30	212.6	0.69
		May	May average	31	220.6	0.67
2017/2018	1	June	June average	30	223.6	0.68
			2017/2018 Q1 average	91	219.0	0.68
			2017/2018 average	91	219.0	0.68

4. Current Investment Opportunities

- 4.1 The Authority is occasionally made aware of long term investment opportunities by other oneSource Authorities, brokers or investment advisers.
- 4.2 Council on the 13th September approved changes to the Treasury Management Strategy Statement (TMSS) which will now facilitate investment in a wider range of products.
- 4.3 In 2016/17, oneSource authorities (Newham and Bexley) and Warrington Council have invested in 5 year solar bonds. Subsequent to Cabinet's approval of the recommended changes to the TMSS, this authority now has the option of investing £3m in Solar bonds and the S151 Officer in consultation with the lead member for financial management will decide whether to take up this option.

5. New borrowing

- 5.1 Affordability and the "cost of carry" remained important influences on the authority's borrowing strategy alongside the consideration that, for any borrowing undertaken ahead of need, the proceeds would have to be invested in the money markets at rates of interest significantly lower than the cost of borrowing.
- 5.2 As short-term interest rates have remained, and are likely to remain at least over the forthcoming two years, lower than long-term rates, the authority determined it was more cost effective in the short-term to use internal resources instead, referred to as internal borrowing.

- 5.3 As a result no long term borrowing was undertaken during the quarter but this will be kept under continuous review as capital investment plans are developed and spending is monitored.
- 5.5 Market opportunities that may provide borrowing rates at less than equivalent PWLB will be considered, where such borrowing opportunities become available. The S151 Officer will consider the cost of carry before making a decision to borrow in advance of need or forward fixing borrowing rates. Such opportunities could be used by the authority after careful consideration to potentially pay for the authority's prefunding of the LGPS past service cost and deliver General Fund savings. However the S151 Officer will ensure that full cost of the capital programme over the same period and its affordability is costed before making a decision whether to use cash balances to prefund pension fund annual past service cost pension contributions for the next 3 years.

6. Debt Rescheduling

- 6.1 The possibility of debt rescheduling is regularly discussed with our treasury adviser and is a regular agenda item at the quarterly treasury meeting held between the treasury officers, Arlingclose, the S151 officer and the lead Member for Financial Management. However opportunities have been almost non-existent in the current economic climate.
- 6.2 The premium charge for early repayment of PWLB debt remains relatively expensive for the loans in the authority's portfolio and therefore unattractive for debt rescheduling activity. No rescheduling activity was undertaken as a consequence.

7. Compliance with Treasury and Prudential Limits

- 7.1 It is a statutory duty for the authority to determine and keep under review the affordable borrowing limits. The authority's approved 2017/18 Treasury and Prudential Indicators (affordability limits) were included and approved by Full Council as part of the Treasury Management Strategy Statement (TMSS) in February 2017. The changes to the 2017/18 TMSS presented to this Committee in June 2017 were approved by Full Council on the 13th September 2017 meeting. These changes as reported will enable the authority to invest in secured bonds in unrated companies.
- 7.2 During the quarter, the authority has operated within the treasury limits and Prudential Indicators set out in the authority Treasury Management Strategy Statement and in compliance with the authority's Treasury Management Practices. An update on indicators and limits are reported in appendix 2 of this report.
- 7.3 There were a number of credit rating changes during the quarter. Moody's Credit Rating Agency affirmed Royal Bank of Scotland's and NatWest's long-term ratings at Baa1. The agency did downgraded the major Canadian banks' long-term ratings on the anticipation that they will face a more

challenging operating environment going forward but are expected to remain above the authority's approved ratings for highly rated counterparties.

- 7.4 Moody's also down-graded the large Australian banks to Aa3 from Aa2 reflecting the agency's view of the rising risks from the banks exposure to the Australian housing market and the elevated proportion of lending to residential property investors. However the ratings of these banks are still expected to remain within criteria of the authority's highly rated counterparties. The authority had £8m exposure with an Australian bank (Australia and New Zealand Banking Group) at the end of Q1, with a rating of AA-.
- 7.5 Standards and Poor's Credit Rating Agency (S&P) also revised Nordea banks outlook from negative to stable, whilst affirming their long-term rating at AA-.
- 7.6 The authority's treasury adviser sends out email communications, notifying changes to counterparty ratings when they take place. These are monitored by the Treasury Manager and appropriate action taken after seeking advice from the Head of Pensions and Treasury.

IMPLICATIONS AND RISKS

Financial implications and risks:

There are no direct financial implications from this report.

Legal implications and risks:

There are no apparent legal implications or risks from noting this report.

Human Resources implications and risks:

There are no HR implications from this report

Equalities implications and risks:

There are no Equalities implications arising from this report

BACKGROUND PAPERS

- 1.
- 2017/18 Treasury Management Strategy 2016/17 Treasury Management Annual report and Proposed Changes to 2017/18 Treasury Management Strategy Arlingclose Investment Benchmarking Q2 2017 2.
- 3.

Appendix A

Table 1 breakdown of Deposits at 30th June 2017

Institution Type	30th June 2017 Actual £'m	31 March 2017 Actual £'m
UK Banks		
Goldman Sachs INT"L Bank	17.600	18.000
Lloyds Bank PLC	24.000	24.000
Royal Bank of Scotland	0.100	-
Santander UK PLC	24.005	23.984
UK Building Societies		
Coventry Building Society	-	5.000
Nationwide Building Society	10.000	13.000
Yorkshire Building Society	5.000	5.000
Local Authorities & Other Public Sector		
Birmingham City Council	15.000	-
BlackBurn with Darwen Borough Council	5.000	-
Cheshire East Council	5.000	-
Eastleigh Borough Council	5.000	5.000
Falkirk Council	5.000	
Highland Council Inverness	7.000	12.000
Lancashire County Council	15.000	15.000
London Borough of Islington	5.000	5.000
Mid Suffolk District Council	5.000	
Newcastle Upon Tyne City Council	10.000	5.000
North Lanarkshire Council	5.000	5.000
Northumberland County Council	15.000	15.000
Stockport Borough Council	5.000	-
Non UK Banks		
Australia		
Australia & New Zealand Banking Group	8.000	3.000
Commonwealth Bank of Australia	-	10.000
Canada		
Bank of Montreal	-	4.000
Netherlands		
Cooperative Rabobank	10.000	15.000
Singapore		
Development Bank Singapore	7.000	2.000
Overseas-Chinese Banking Corporation	5.000	9.000
Money Market Funds		
HSBC Global Liquidity Fund Class G MMF	-	6.920
BNP Paribas Insticash Sterling MMF	9.040	-
Insight Investments Liquidity MMF	3.690	-
TOTAL INVESTMENTS	225.435	200.904

Table 2

Investment Benchmarking

Investment Benchmarking		13 London & Met Boroughs	133 LAs	
30 June 2017	Havering	Average	Average	
Internal Investments	£225.4m	£87.8m	£63.0m	
External Funds	£0.0m	£3.9m	£9.2m	
TOTAL INVESTMENTS	£225.4m	£93.2m	£72.2m	
Security				
Average Credit Score	3.97	4.32	4.32	
Average Credit Rating	AA-	AA-	AA-	
Average Credit Score (time-weighted)	3.26	4.22	3.99	
Average Credit Rating (time-weighted)	AA	AA-	AA-	
Number of Counterparties / Funds	26	16	16	
Proportion Exposed to Bail-in	44%	65%	65%	
Liquidity				
Proportion Available within 7 days	6%	52%	46%	
Proportion Available within 100 days	32%	70%	66%	
Average Days to Maturity	294	154	45	
Market Risks				
Average Days to Next Rate Reset	290	174	71	
External Fund Volatility	0.0%	0.4%	1.9%	
Yield				
Internal Investment Return	0.68%	0.51%	0.52%	
External Funds - Income Return		1.34%	3.48%	
External Funds - Capital Gains/Losses		1.20%	1.37%	
External Funds - Total Return		2.54%	4.85%	
Total Investments - Income Return	0.68%	0.58%	0.89%	
Total Investments - Total Return	0.68%	0.67%	1.19%	

Notes to the table above

- Unless otherwise stated, all measures relate to internally managed investments only, i.e. excluding external pooled funds.
- Averages within a portfolio are weighted by size of investment, but averages across authorities are not weighted.
- Credit scores are calculated as AAA = 1, AA+ = 2, etc.
- Volatility is the standard deviation of weekly total returns, annualised.

SOURCE: Arlingclose Quarterly benchmarking

All treasury management activities undertaken during quarter 1 complied fully with the CIPFA Code of Practice and the authority's approved Treasury Management Strategy. Compliance with specific treasury limits is demonstrated in tables below.

1.1 Interest Rate Exposures

1.1.1 This indicator is set to control the authority's exposure to interest rate risk on its debt portfolio. The upper limits on fixed and variable rate interest rate exposures, expressed as the proportion of gross principal borrowed will be:

Table1: Interest rate exposure activity

						2017/18	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20
						Limit	Q1 Actual	Limit	Limit
						%	%	%	%
Upper	limit	on	fixed	interest	rate	100	92.22	100	100
exposu	re								
Upper I	imit on	varia	able inte	erest rate		25	7.78	30	35
exposu	re								

Fixed rate borrowings are those borrowings where the rate of interest is fixed for the whole financial year. Instruments that mature during the financial year are classed as variable rate.

1.1.2 Having larger amounts of fixed interest rate borrowing gives the authority greater stability with regards to its interest payments and reduces the risk of higher interest costs should interest rates rise. Traditionally local authorities have taken advantage of fixing interest rates long term to reduce interest rate exposure. The table excludes Salix Finance loans as these are held at zero interest hence no interest rate exposure.

1.2 Maturity Structure of Borrowing

1.2.1 This indicator is set to control the authority's exposure to refinancing risk. The upper and lower limits on the maturity structure of fixed rate borrowing will be:

Table 2: Loan maturity structure

	Upper %	Lower %	Actual %
Under 12 months	40	0	7.78
12 months and within 24 months	40	0	0.00
24 months and within 5 years	60	0	0.50
5 years and within 10 years	75	0	9.77
10 years and above	100	25	81.94

Time periods start on the first day of each financial year. The maturity date of borrowing is the earliest date on which the lender can demand repayment.

1.3 Principal Sums Invested for Periods Longer than 364 days

- 1.3.1 The purpose of this indicator is to control the authority's exposure to the risk of incurring losses by seeking early repayment of its investments.
- 1.3.2 The limits set in the 2017/18 treasury management strategy in comparison to the quarter one is set below. It is the authority's policy to classify available for sale investments with maturities exceeding one year as short term investments.

Table 3: Investments for periods longer than 364 days

	2017/18	2017/18	2018/19
	Limit	Actual	Limit
	£m	£m	£m
Limit on principal invested beyond year end	75	40	75

1.4 Liquidity Treasury Indicator

1.4.1 The authority has adopted a voluntary measure of its exposure to liquidity risk by monitoring the amount of cash available to meet unexpected payments overnight and within a rolling three month period without additional borrowing. £12.830m amounts to 5.64% of total investments while £24.5m amounts to 10.87%.

Table 4: Liquidity activity as 30/06/2017

	Target £m	Actual £m
Total cash available by the next working day	5.000	12.830
Total cash available within 3 months	30.000	24.500

1.5 Gross Debt and the Capital Financing Requirement (CFR)

1.5.1 In order to ensure that over the medium term debt will only be for a capital purpose, the authority should ensure that debt does not, except in the short term, exceed the total of CFR in the preceding year plus the estimates of any additional CFR for the current and next two financial years. This is a key indicator of prudence.

Table 5: Gross debt and the CFR

	31.03.17 Actual £m	31.03.18 Estimate £m	31.03.19 Estimate £m	31.03.20 Estimate £m
Long Term External Debt	210.234	210.234	210.234	256.234
CFR	250.578	280.794	325.527	371.890
Internal Borrowing	40.344	70.56	115.293	115.656

1.5.2 Total debt is expected to remain below the CFR during the year. The actual debt levels are monitored against the Operational Boundary and authorised Limit for External Debt, below.

1.6 Operational Boundary for External Debt

1.6.1 The operational boundary is based on the authority's estimate of most likely, i.e. prudent, but not worst case scenario for external debt.

Table 6: Operational Boundary

Operational Boundary	2017/18 £m	2018/19 £m	2019/20 £m
Borrowing	276.300	310.600	341.400
Other long-term liabilities	2.000	2.000	2.000
Total	278.300	312.600	343.400

1.7 Authorised Limit for External Debt

1.7.1 The authorised limit is the affordable borrowing limit determined in compliance with the Local Government Act 2003. It is the maximum amount of debt that the authority can legally owe. The authorised limit provides headroom over and above the operational boundary for unusual cash movements.

Table 7: Authorised limit for external debt

Authorised Limit	2017/18 £m	2018/19 £m	2019/20 £m
Borrowing	303.900	341.700	375.500
Other long-term liabilities	2.000	2.000	2.000
Total Debt	305.900	343.700	377.500
Long Term Debt	210.200	210.200	210.200
Headroom	95.700	133.500	133.500



Regulatory Update

Appendix C

1.0 Ring-fencing

- 1.1 Ring-fencing which requires the larger UK banks to separate their core retail banking activity from the rest of their business, is expected to be implemented within the next year.
- 1.2 In May, Arlingclose advice has been for clients to reduce the maximum duration of its deposits at RBS, HSBC and Lloyds bank from 13 months to 6 months as there is some uncertainty surrounding which banking entities the authority will be dealing with once ring-fencing is implemented. The Committee was provided with an update on ring-fencing in the Q4 report, further updates will be provided once the final balance sheet structures of those banks are known.
- 1.3 There is a potential risk that arises from the ring fencing of larger UK banks. The authority would not be able to lock into the favourable rates that are offered for longer term investments with these banks and therefore the prospects of meeting the investment income target for the current year is at an additional risk.
- 1.4 Although bail-in legislation has been enacted and is due to be implemented shortly in Canada in the absence of credit default swaps, Arlingclose is of the belief that these bank's balance sheets no longer support their existing credit stance they have therefore advised clients to reduce the duration of new investments in Bank of Montreal, Bank of Nova Scotia, Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce, Royal Bank of Canada and Toronto Dominion Bank from 12 months to 6 months although investments in these banks collateralised against secured securities within the authority's Treasury Management Strategy can be for longer in so far as they remain with the approved limits.

2.0 MIFID II Client Categorisation of Local Authorities

- 2.1 The FCA's final rules were published in a policy statement on 3rd July 2017. There were some differences and some clarification compared to the original proposals. The Committee were provided with an update in the Q4 2016/17 report.
- 2.2 Although the UK has voted to leave the EU, MIFID II will be implemented in the UK because the UK is still subject to EU laws until we leave, secondly the UK government is in favour of strengthening investor protection and UK firms will wish to continue to provide financial services across the EU after we leave therefore need to comply with equivalent regulations.

- 2.3 With the changes to Client Categorisation rules, all Local Authorities will be reclassified as 'retail' clients by default, with the option of being treated as 'elective professional', provided they can meet a set of qualitative and quantitative criteria (detailed in points 2.5 &2.6)
- 2.4 A decision to maintain "Retail" status is expected to limit the investment options available, compared to "Professional" status. It also is important to note that the option to opt-up is not a one off exercise. It will need to be undertaken with each and every counterparty / fund manager that a client may wish to transact. Without "Professional" status the authority will be unable to deliver its Treasury Management Strategy and the investment income assumed in the budget strategy.

2.5 Qualitative Test Criteria

- (a) This test is based around the financial firm being confident that their client can demonstrate their experience and knowledge, to a level at which they have sufficient assurance that the client is capable of making investment decisions and has an understanding of the risks involved.
- (b) The qualitative test criteria are provided as guidance and each investment counter-party would then set its particular criteria. Rather than a simple pro-forma that could be used to meet each individual request, there are likely to be differences in each approach from each individual financial institution and fund manager. The differences could simply depend on the nature of the potential investment a client may make with the entity, or there could be other factors that also play a role.
- (c) The onus will be on each financial services firm to document and evidence that each client satisfies the above criteria, if they wish to allow an authority to re-categorise as professional. The information that will be needed as part of this process will have to be supplied by the local authority.

2.6 Quantitative Test Criteria

The quantitative test criteria that must be satisfied are detailed below.

- (a) the size of the client's financial instrument portfolio, defined as including cash deposits and financial instruments, exceeds £10,000,000
- (b) the client has carried out transactions, in significant size, on the relevant market at an average frequency of 10 per quarter over the previous four quarters
- (c) the client works or has worked in the financial sector for at least one year in a professional position, which requires knowledge of the transactions or services envisaged

- 2.7 In the July briefing from the authority's treasury adviser, it was noted that none of the main broker and adviser firms serving Local Authorities are currently authorised to serve retail clients, including Arlingclose.
- 2.8 There is a portal that has been provided by CIPFA, the CIPFA/PS Link MiFID II portal, to manage the opting up process. The authority has been registered on this portal and intends to complete the necessary stages towards the opting up process through this portal.



Glossary of Terms

Appendix D

A bond is a debt instrument in which an investor lends money for a specified period of time at a fixed rate of interest. The issuing entity could be corporate, financial or government.

A floating rate note (FRN) is a money market instrument with a Floating/variable rate of interest, which re-fixes over a reference rate, for example 3 month LIBOR.

Bail in is rescuing a financial institution on the brink of failure by making its creditors and depositors take a loss on their holdings. A **bail**-in is the opposite of a **bail**-out, which involves the rescue of a financial institution by external parties, typically governments using taxpayer's money.

Basis point (bp) One hundredth of 1% e.g. 0.01%

Certificates of deposit (CDs) are a negotiable form of fixed deposit, ranked pari passu with fixed deposits. The difference is that you are not obligated to hold the CD to maturity, you can realise the cash by selling in the secondary market.

Coupon is the total amount of interest a security will pay. The coupon period depends on the security. A CD will often pay interest at maturity, while a bond may pay semi annually or annually and an FRN will most likely pay every 3 months.

Covered bond Covered bonds are conventional bonds (fixed or floating) issued by financial institutions, that are backed by a separate group of loans, usually prime residential mortgages. This lowers the creditor's exposure to default risk, enhancing the credit. This is why the issue is usually rated AAA, higher than the rating given to the issuer reduces exposure to bail-in risk.

Credit rating A measure of the credit worthiness of a borrower. A credit rating can be assigned to country, organisation or specific debt issue/ financial obligation. There are a number of credit ratings agencies but the main 3 are Standard & Poor's, Fitch or Moody's.

MIFID is the Markets in Financial Instruments Directive. A European Union Directive.

Principal is the total amount being borrowed or lent.

Spread is the difference between the buy and sell price of a security. It can also be the gap, usually in basis points, between the yield of a security and the benchmark security.

Treasury bills (T-bills) are UK government rated, short-dated form of Government debt, issued by the Debt Management Office (DMO) via a weekly tender. T-bills are normally issued for one, three or six month duration.



Agenda Item 7



AUDIT COMMITTEE 28 September 2017

Subject Heading:	Annual Statement of Accounts 2016/17
CLT Lead:	Debbie Middleton
Report Author and contact details:	Contact: Radwan Ahmed Designation: Head of Finance –Financial Control & Corporate Business Systems Telephone: 0203 373 0934 E-mail address: Radwan.Ahmed@oneSource.co.uk
Policy context:	Audit Committee responsible for approving accounts.
Financial summary:	There are no direct financial implications from the report.

The subject matter of this report deals with the following Council Objectives

Communities making Havering	[X]
Places making Havering	[X]
Opportunities making Havering	[X]
Connections making Havering	[X]

SUMMARY

The Council's Statement of Accounts is required to be published after the conclusion of the external audit of accounts; no later than 30th September 2017. At this stage our auditors, Ernst and Young, expect to issue an unqualified opinion on the Statement of Accounts.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The Committee is asked to:

- a) Approve the Statement of Accounts confirming that no amendments are required to be made to the accounts in respect of the items set out in the auditors' report.
- b) note that the audited accounts must be published by 30th September 2017.
- c) Note the amendments to the accounting policies arising from the audit of the accounts set out at paragraph 2 of the Report.

REPORT DETAIL

1. Statement of Accounts 2016/17

Our auditors, Ernst and Young, have completed their audit of the Statement of Accounts and expect to issue an unqualified opinion. A formal report on their findings is included as item 6 on the agenda.

The draft Statement of Accounts is shown at Appendix A, changes agreed with the auditors have not been incorporated at this stage. Any adjustments will be advised to Members at the Committee meeting.

Following approval by this Committee, the accounts must be signed by the Chair of the Committee and the Chief Financial Officer.

2. Accounting Policies

The Audit Committee meeting of 1st March 2017 noted the accounting policies applicable to the financial year 2016/17, and these are reflected in the draft Statement of Accounts.

IMPLICATIONS AND RISKS

Financial Implications and Risks:

There are no material financial implications arising directly from the publication of accounts.

Legal Implications and risks:

On the basis that there are no specific issues raised by the external auditor, there are no legal implications arising directly from this report.

Human Resources Implications and risks:

None arising directly

Equalities and Social Inclusion Implications and risks:

None arising directly

BACKGROUND PAPERS

Working papers held within the Corporate Finance section.

Draft Statement of Accounts 2016/17

Appendix A

London Borough of Havering

Statement of accounts for the financial year 2016/17

Agenda Item 8



AUDIT COMMITTEE 28 September 2017

Subject Heading:	Report To Those Charged With Governance International Standard of Auditing (ISA) 260	
CLT Lead:	Debbie Middleton	
Report Author and contact details:	Contact: Radwan Ahmed Designation: Head of Finance –Financial Control & Corporate Business Systems Telephone: 0203 373 0934 E-mail address: Radwan.Ahmed@oneSource.co.uk	
Policy context:	Audit Committee responsible fo approving accounts.	
Financial summary:	There are no direct financial implications to the report.	
	I	

The subject matter of this report deals with the following Council Objectives

Communities making Havering	[X]
Places making Havering	[X]
Opportunities making Havering	[X]
Connections making Havering	[X]

SUMMARY

The draft ISA 260 report from the external auditor Ernst and Young will be included as Appendix A and presented by the auditors at the meeting. It will summarise their findings from the 2016/17 audit to date. The ISA 260 report will set out key issues that have been considered by the auditors when considering their opinion,

conclusion and certificate. Officers' responses will be included in the ISA 260 report.

The draft of the Letter of Representation will be included as appendix B.

At this stage the auditors expect to issue an unqualified opinion on the financial statements by the 30th September 2017.

RECOMMENDATIONS

To note the contents of the Report to Those Charged with Governance (ISA260) and the draft Letter of Representation and consider any issues raised by the external auditor.

REPORT DETAIL

Regulation 11 of the Accounts and Audit Regulations 2015 requires the publication of the Statement of Accounts after the conclusion of the audit, but in any event no later than the 31st July. Transitory provisions (regulation 21) amend this to 30th September for the 2015/16 and 2016/17 accounts.

The draft report from the external auditor at Appendix A summarises their findings from the 2016/17 audit to date. It sets out key findings that were considered by the auditors when considering their opinion, conclusion and certificate.

The Committee is also asked to consider the draft Letter of Representation, Appendix B, setting out the assurances required of the Chief Financial Officer by the auditors.

At this stage the auditors expect to issue an unqualified opinion on the financial statements by the 30th September 2017.

Financial Implications and Risks:

There are no financial implications or risks arising directly from this report. Any financial consequences arising from the outcome of the audit of accounts and recommendations set out by the external auditor will be addressed as part of the Council's response.

Legal Implications and risks:

On the basis that there are no specific issues raised by the external auditor, there are no legal implications arising directly from this report.

Human Resources Implications and risks:

None arising directly

Equalities and Social Inclusion Implications and risks:

None arising directly

BACKGROUND PAPERS

Working papers held within the Corporate Finance section.

Draft statement of Accounts 2016/17

Appendix A

Report to the Audit Committee of the authority on the audit of the statement of accounts and pension fund accounts for the year ended 31 March 2017 (ISA (UK&I)) 260)

Appendix B

Representation letter – audit of the London Borough of Havering's ("the Authority") Statement of Accounts for the year ended 31 March 2017

